

March 31, 2011

## Summary:

# Enexis Holding N.V.

### Primary Credit Analyst:

Karin Erlander, London (44) 20-7176-3584; [karin\\_erlander@standardandpoors.com](mailto:karin_erlander@standardandpoors.com)

### Secondary Contact:

Mark J Davidson(UK), London (44) 20-7176-6306; [mark\\_j\\_davidson@standardandpoors.com](mailto:mark_j_davidson@standardandpoors.com)

## Table Of Contents

---

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

## Summary:

# Enexis Holding N.V.

**Credit Rating:** A/Stable/--

## Rationale

The ratings on Dutch electricity and gas distribution network company Enexis Holding N.V. and its subsidiary Enexis B.V. (jointly Enexis) reflect Standard & Poor's Ratings Services' view of their low-risk regulated electricity and gas distribution network businesses, stable and predictable operating cash flow, high quality network assets, and stable operating performance. Enexis has a natural monopoly status in its license areas and the majority of its activities, including its electricity and gas tariffs, are regulated by the Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs and the Dutch regulator, Energiekamer. Other unregulated businesses include infrastructure installation and maintenance activities, and account for less than 10% of Enexis' revenues.

The ratings are constrained by a sizable capital expenditures (capex) program in the medium term; and by the consolidation of the Dutch energy sector, which could entail further investments. Enexis is also exposed to the incentive-based regulatory framework in The Netherlands, and regulatory reset risk every third year. However, we note that, as we had anticipated, the regulator has reversed the efficiency factors in the current regulatory period from Jan. 1, 2011, thereby removing near-term reset risk.

The 'A' rating on Enexis is based on the company's stand-alone credit profile (SACP), which we assess at 'a', and on our opinion that there is a "moderate" likelihood that its owners would provide timely and sufficient extraordinary support in the event of financial distress.

In accordance with our criteria for government-related entities (GREs), our view of a "moderate" likelihood of extraordinary support is based on our assessment of Enexis':

- "Important" role, given its strategic importance to the province and municipality owners, as the monopoly provider of gas and electricity distribution services in its license areas; and
- "Limited" link to the owners, given the dispersed ownership structure. Enexis' owners are the provinces of Noord Brabant (31%), Overijssel (19%), Limburg (16%), Groningen (6%), and Drenthe (2%), as well as 120 municipalities in the region.

## Key business and profitability developments

In November 2010, Energiekamer announced the efficiency factors that would be applied to the Dutch electricity and gas distribution network operators for the regulatory period Jan. 1, 2011–Dec. 31, 2013. Enexis' efficiency factors are negative 6.2% (electricity) and negative 3.4% (gas), and result in a positive adjustment to Enexis' allowed tariffs. These compare with the 5.0% and 8.1% cost savings imposed on Enexis in the electricity and gas segments in the previous regulatory period.

We had expected that the regulator would reverse its cost savings requirements because the cost base in 2009 was higher than it had anticipated, and hence we have already factored in higher revenues and earnings in our projections. We foresee a reverse in the decrease in revenues and operating profits seen over the last two years (EBIT fell 5% in 2009 and 3% in the first half of 2010). In our opinion, it is likely that Enexis' EBITDA margin could

increase to about 50% in the near term, from about 45% in 2009, and that its profitability could strengthen further to about 55% over the medium term.

### **Key cash flow and capital-structure developments**

On Jan. 27, 2011, Enexis announced its intention to acquire a regional gas distribution network in the south of the Netherlands. The distribution networks, currently under the name of Intergas Energy, are situated in a region where Enexis already owns and operates the electricity distribution network and also part of the gas distribution network. We maintain our opinion that this investment would be relatively small--due to the limited size of the networks--and note that the acquisition price of about €200 million would be covered by the cash Enexis has accumulated on its balance sheet for acquisition purposes. Intergas Energy had a turnover of €30 million in 2009, and is small compared with Enexis, where turnover was €1.4 billion that year.

Enexis' regulated electricity and gas networks generate relatively stable and predictable operating cash flows, comfortably covering annual capex of about €450 million, on average, in the regulatory period through 2013.

Enexis' free operating cash flow increased in 2009, but we believe that its free operating cash flows after dividends are likely to have declined in 2010 and will remain low through 2013. We base this belief on our view that capex will gradually increase through the regulatory period, and on our understanding from management that dividend payments are likely to increase from 2011.

We believe that Enexis' adjusted funds from operations (FFO)-to-debt ratio is likely to fall in 2010, from over 23% in 2009, but that it will remain at about 20% in the medium term, thanks to improved profitability.

### **Liquidity**

Enexis' liquidity position is adequate, in our view. This is due to its stable generation of cash flows, which in our opinion, in combination with substantial cash balances, will cover the acquisition of Intergas Energy, as well as capex and dividends through the next two years. Enexis has access to a fully undrawn €450 million committed bank line expiring in 2015. Enexis has no short-term debt.

Enexis' municipality owners provided a €1.8 billion shareholder loan to Enexis in September 2009, which the company used to repay an intercompany loan it had with the previous owner Essent N.V. (A/Negative/A-1).

The €1.8 billion shareholder loan is divided into four tranches of different sizes and maturities (due 2012, 2014, 2016, and 2019). The 10-year €350 million tranche contains an equity conversion element, triggered if Enexis risks breaching regulatory minimum credit metrics or covenants in its loan agreements. We understand that interest on the shareholder loan is nondeferrable, that the trigger levels are substantially below Enexis' expected credit metrics, and that Enexis intends to refinance the shareholder loan on the debt capital market when it falls due. We view the loan as debt in our analysis.

## **Outlook**

The stable outlook reflects our opinion that Enexis will continue to generate solid free cash flows from its regulated business and will maintain an average ratio of FFO to debt of about 20%. A more aggressive financial policy than we anticipate (including a debt-financed acquisition or higher-than-anticipated dividends to the shareholders) or significant adverse regulatory changes, could put pressure on the ratings. The potential for a positive rating action is limited, in our view, owing to the sector consolidation that we expect Enexis to participate in, and given the

company's sizable investment plan.

## Related Criteria And Research

All articles listed below are available on RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal, unless otherwise stated.

- Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, Dec. 9, 2010
- Stand-Alone Credit Profiles: One Component Of A Rating, Oct. 1, 2010
- Methodology And Assumptions: Standard & Poor's Standardizes Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, July 2, 2010
- Criteria Methodology: Business Risk/Financial Risk Matrix Expanded, May 27, 2009
- 2008 Corporate Criteria: Analytical Methodology, April 15, 2008
- 2008 Corporate Criteria: Ratios And Adjustments, April 15, 2008

**Additional Contact:**

Infrastructure Finance Ratings Europe; InfrastructureEurope@standardandpoors.com

**Additional Contact:**

Infrastructure Finance Ratings Europe; InfrastructureEurope@standardandpoors.com

Copyright © 2011 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC (S&P), a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P, its affiliates, and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P's opinions and analyses do not address the suitability of any security. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).